

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/537,180

Applicant(s)

OWEN ET AL.

Examiner

Sandra Saucier

Art Unit

1651

## All Participants:

(1) Sandra Saucier.

(2) K. McKinley.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 20 July 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Obviousness over WO 88/05261*

Claims discussed:

*224 and 294*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicants have presented unexpected results when perfusing kidney at a first temperature of 20-24°C and have agreed to limit the claims to perfusing kidney.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)